

United States Patent and Trademark Office



m)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/608,816	06/30/2000	John Potter	24,576-02	2383	
75	90 05/22/2002				
JOHN F. KLOS			EXAMINER		
FULLBRIGHT & JAWORSKI 225 SOUTH SIXTH STREET			DORSEY,	DORSEY, DENNIS	
SUITE 4850 MINNEAPOLIS	IS, MN 55402-4320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/22/2002	DATE MAIL ED: 05/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s	s)			
\	•	09/608,816	John Potter	(0			
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dennis L Dorsey	3637				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication арр r Reply		sheet with the corresponder	nce address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 271	February 2002 .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fin	al.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	١.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
1	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		~				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been recei	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been recei	ved in Application No	<u> </u>			
* S	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) 🗆 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provi	isional application).			
1) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
Attachmen							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pa Notice of Informal Patent Applicati Other:				
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 09/608,816

> Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "16" has been used to designate both joist and top panel. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. New formal drawings are required in this application because the informal drawings previously submitted are of poor quality. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rehbein in view of Clear and Morana (EP 0 485 297 A1).

Rehbein teaches all the limitations of the claims except panel with two layers.

Rehbein teaches the use of deck blocks (1) to build a deck over substructure or joints

(9), panel supports (3) support the panels on the deck, and panels are abutting at the ends to form a deck surface. Clear teaches a flooring panel with a top layer (14) that is

Application/Control Number: 09/608,816

` Art Unit: 3637

inflexible and made of concrete, adhesively secured to a lower flexible layer (18), both layers have substantially the same size, and square in shape (see Figure 1). Clear further teaches that the panels can be manufactured without the tongue and grooves.

Morana teaches a well-known panel T-shaped panel support (9).

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the all wood block for a two layer panel since it is held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material for the intended use as a matter of design choice.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the Rehbein panel support for the Clear panel support since it is held to be within the skill of a worker in the art to select support panel as taught by Clear to provide a stronger support when using the two layer panel.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis L Dorsey whose telephone number is 703-306-9137. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for

¹ Art Unit: 3637

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1020.

DLD 7/2/ May 20, 2002

> LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

lama